HHS should have spent less time focusing on hype and more time providing seniors with critical informa-

tion about the card program.

We have to look at what is missing on the card. I urge the administration to include something else in their mailing. This is called a magnifying glass. Everybody knows what it is. It ought to be sent so you can read what this small type says. It says, "Scene from the HHS 'shine' ad, featuring the 'strange, blue, magical glow of light.'

It goes further—and we have enlarged the type. The magnifying glass would be a nice accompaniment for seniors who are getting this, because they should read this small type. It says: 'Savings may vary. Enrollment fee, deductibles, and copay may apply.

And here they say "certain exclu-

sions apply.

We need the magnifying glass to see that.

What we are looking at is some fairly deceptive advertising. It is shocking that the administration would once again run ads that leave out these important details, especially in light of the findings by the GAO that earlier Medicare advertisements had a political tone and contained "notable omissions and other weaknesses.

Many seniors watching this commercial could reasonably believe the discount card is free. In reality, there is an annual enrollment fee of up to \$30.

Many drugs would be excluded from the program. Seniors could be stuck with a Medicare drug card that provides no discount for the prescription drugs they may need. For example, seniors using the Medicare discount card offered by the Pharmacy Care Alliance would get no discount for Celebrex. Celebrex is a common, apparently very effective drug used to treat arthritis. With the card, you can buy the drug for \$121.80. But if you don't have the card, you can get the same medication for only \$76.99 at drugstore.com, so there is a savings of over \$40. The card is useless for this drug.

Another example: Seniors on the Rx Savings Medicare Card Plan would pay \$147.01 for Prevacid, a common drug used to treat acid reflux. But there is no discount at all when you consider that you can buy the same drug for \$120.99 at drugstore.com without any card. That is a savings of over \$25 if you do not use the card. That is a good

idea. Don't use the card.

Lipitor is used to treat high cholesterol. If you have the Pharmacy Care Alliance Medicare drug card, it costs you \$71.19. But if you want to buy it at drugstore.com, that \$71.19 product cost only \$62.99. So there is \$8 worth of savings right there at drugstore.com without any card. The savings are haphazard at best.

These Health and Human Services television ads do not provide any of these details except, once again, in the tiny type on the bottom of the screen, and you ought to get a magnifying glass if you really want to understand what is taking place.

Look at this placard. It shows actual scenes from HHS's advertisement. I point out as I did before:

Savings may vary. Enrollment fee, deductibles, and co-pay may apply.

They are saying: Hey, hold on to your pockets because we are not really telling you what the outcome is going to be.

What little substantive information is included can only be found at the bottom of the screen in print so small that you need a magnifying glass to read it. They make sure the type is in a color that is very hard to read. If this was an automobile, people would be hollering that this is flimflam. Only in its barely visible fine print are seniors informed there is an enrollment fee for the discount card.

It also reveals that "certain exclusions apply." That exclusion could very well be the prescription drug you need.

Rather than educating seniors about the drug discount card, HHS is treating the Medicare drug card like dishwashing soap-just make the public think it is a great thing. These are not educational ads. They are propaganda. The GAO already told HHS that its previous Medicare materials were misleading, but rather than clean up its act, the administration continues to hide the fact and trick seniors.

I call on HHS and the administration to stop using taxpayers' dollars to mislead seniors and start providing real needed information to Medicare beneficiaries. One should not have to have a magnifying glass to understand what is being offered.

Mr. President, I yield the floor and suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the

Mr. REID. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, Senator SCHUMER is not here; therefore, I yield back his time.

Does the other side yield back their morning business time?

Mr. GRASSLEY. We yield back our 5 minutes.

CONCLUSION OF MORNING **BUSINESS**

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Morning business is closed.

JUMPSTART OUR BUSINESS STRENGTH (JOBS) ACT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will resume consideration of S. 1637, which the clerk will report.

The assistant legislative clerk read as follows:

A bill (S. 1637) to amend the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to comply with the World Trade Organization findings on the FSC/ETI benefit in a manner that preserves jobs and production activities in the United States, to reform and simplify the international taxation rules of the United States, and for other purposes.

Pending:

Harkin amendment No. 3107, to amend the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 to clarify provisions relating to overtime pay.

Collins amendment No. 3108, to provide for a manufacturer's jobs credit.

Wyden amendment No. 3109, to provide trade adjustment assistance for service workers

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the time until 12:30 p.m. shall be equally divided between the chairman and ranking member of the Finance Committee or their designees.

The Senator from Montana.

Mr. BAUCUS. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the pending amendment be set aside so that the Senator from North Dakota may offer his amendment.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

AMENDMENT NO. 3110

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I send an amendment to the desk.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows: The Senator from North Dakota [Mr. DOR-GAN], for himself, Ms. MIKULSKI, Mr. HARKIN, Mr. FEINGOLD, Mr. KENNEDY, and Mr. ED-WARDS, proposes an amendment numbered

Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the reading of the amendment be dispensed with.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

(The amendment is printed in today's

RECORD under "Text of Amendments.")
Mr. DORGAN. Mr. President, I shall not debate the amendment at the moment. My understanding is the bill managers want to sequence a number of amendments. Let me indicate this amendment deals with the question of trying to close a tax provision that actually rewards or incentivizes those U.S. companies that would move jobs overseas for the purpose of producing a product and shipping it back into our marketplace. I believe that is a tax loophole that ought to be closed. We ought not incentivize the loss of American jobs and the movement of American jobs overseas.

I offer this amendment on behalf of myself and Senator MIKULSKI and others. We will be happy to come this afternoon to debate it. Also, I will be happy to reach a time agreement when we come back this afternoon. It is not our intention to delay this bill. I want to see this bill finally passed, but I do want to have a good debate on our amendment. We will be ready to have a reasonable time agreement this afternoon.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Nevada.

Mr. REID. Mr. President, it is my understanding, after speaking with the